

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date set forth below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Signature and Deposit: April 18, 2006

Bennet J. Berson, Reg. No. 37,094



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Avshalom Caspi
Terrie E. Moffitt

Date: April 18, 2006

Serial No.: 10/617,453

Art Unit: 1634

Filing Date: 07/11/2003

Examiner: Juliet C. Switzer

Title: METHOD FOR ASSESSING BEHAVIORAL
PREDISPOSITION

File No.: 960296.99497

Confirmation No.: 5194

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In an Office Action mailed March 9, 2006, the Examiner in charge of the above-identified application divided the claims into three groups, alleged that the inventions embraced by each group are distinct and required restriction to one of the groups.

In response to this requirement, the Applicants provisionally elect Group I (Claims 1-13), with traverse. The requirement is respectfully traversed because the subject matter of Group I and of Group III (Claims 22-26) are not patentably distinct. As the Examiner has already acknowledged, the process of Group I can be practiced with the kit of Group III. Indeed, the overlap between the two is so close that both should be searched and examined together.

A complete search of the provisionally elected Group I claims will necessarily establish whether the system recited in the Group III claims (in all of its claimed embodiments) is present in the available art. Claim 1 recites "determining whether the subject carries one or more copies of an at-risk allele of a gene that encodes monoamine